

EU Funding for MoS: Past, Present and Future

European Short Sea Shipping Conference 2016 30 September 2016

Antonis Tsamoulis – INEA Project Manager





Pillar 1: Environment

TEN-T program (2007-2013)

21 projects generating **655** mln investments, **173** mln of EU contribution

- LNG (12 Actions) 468.4 mln investments/117mln grants
- Scrubbers (7 Actions) 164.4 mln investments/46.6 mln grants
- Other (1 Action Methanol)





Pillar 1: Environment

CEF program (2014-2020)

- **28** projects generating **620 mln** investments, **226mln** of EU contribution:
- LNG (11 Actions) 414 mln investments/151.5 mln grants
- Scrubbers (13 Actions) 176 mln investments/58.5 mln grants
- Other (2 Actions Electric vessels SECA compliance, 2
 OPS)



Pillar 2: Integration in the logistics chain

TEN-T program (2007-2013)

21 projects generating **732 mln** investments, **144 mln** of EU contribution:





Pillar 2: Integration in the logistics chain

CEF program (2014-2020)

9 projects generating **207** mln investments, **69** mln of EU contribution





Pillar 3: Safety, traffic management and the human element

TEN-T program (2007-2013)

4 projects generating 55 mln investments, 27.5 mln EU contribution





Pillar 3: Safety, traffic management and the human element

CEF program (2014-2020)

5 projects generating **128 mln** investments, **53 mln** EU contribution





MoS in 2016/2017: key actions

Wider benefit actions

Addressing industry needs widely (e.g. coherent investments in a group of ports for LNG filling stations or coherent set of investments in port reception facilities in a region)

Implementation works

Upgrade of maritime links (minimum ship and port investments combined)

Pilot actions:

Projects testing or deploying new technological solutions in operational conditions - Particular conditions apply

• Studies - Not supported in 2016/2017





2016 Budget for MoS

- 40 million euros within general envelope
- 20 million euros for Cohesion Countries





Specific Conditions for MoS 1/2

- Submission by at least two applicants from two different Member States (and support of those)
- Upgrade of maritime link: Involvement of at least 1 core port, 1 comprehensive port and 1 maritime operator on the link concerned
 - Only dedicated lines serving the applying ports
 - Vessel upgrades are limited to the additional efforts for environmental purposes or other ancillary investments
 - Ships to serve the MoS line for 5 years after end of Action
 - Involvement of ports demonstrated by appropriate investment activities /50% of project budget





Specific Conditions for MoS 2/2

- Superstructure: not supported
- No support for <u>fleet of vessels</u> (including aggregated applications from the same shipowner)
- Project extension to a <u>neighbouring non-EU country</u> requires additional governmental endorsement from that country and the Member State(s) concerned (participation of that third country possible in pilot actions only)
- <u>Pilot actions</u>: testing new technology in operational conditions. Innovative and unique.
- For large multi-beneficiary projects, recommended submission by <u>European Economic Interest Groupings</u>





CBA concerns

- Significant issues with scrubber type projects
- CBAs lacking details and necessary parameters to assess the economic and financial viability of the proposal
- Not meaningful, combines retrofitting of vessels with port investments
- Profitable, no funding gap, no reductions in tariffs proposed
- Socio-economic effects originating from operational fuel savings rather than from scrubber installation. No added environmental benefits towards existing legislation such as NOx or CO2 reduction.





Examples of MoS 'ideal' proposals

- Upgrade of MoS link including installation of LNG propulsion on ro-ro ship, construction of new railway access to core port X and extending a ro-ro terminal in comprehensive port Y. (Two EU countries)
- Upgrade of VTMS systems in the Mediterranean Sea countries
- Construction of LNG bunkering facilities in the cluster of ports in the North Sea
- Pilot action on testing innovative systems for ballast water exchange





Examples of irrelevant proposals

- Feasibility or market studies which analyse setting a new MoS link
- Installation of scrubbers aboard vessels in SECA area
- Upgrade of a maritime link between two comprehensive ports
- LNG bunkering installations in selected core ports of only one Member State
- Installation of scrubbers on 20 vessels of the same shipowner
- Unfocused proposals (many beneficiaries with unclear roles and activities not clearly linked to objectives)





Thank you for your attention!

